1

2 3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

VS.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ROBERT H. NESBITT, JR.,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-03-2243 MCE DAD P

NEIL WAKABAYASHI, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis with a civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Both parties have filed pretrial statements in this matter. In his pretrial statement, plaintiff has expressed his belief that a settlement conference would be helpful. Similarly, defendants have indicated in their pretrial statement that they are willing to participate in a settlement conference.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. Within thirty days of the date of this order, each party shall notify the court in writing as to whether they wish to proceed with a settlement conference before the undersigned magistrate judge or before a randomly-assigned settlement judge. If the parties wish to proceed with a settlement conference before the undersigned magistrate judge, each party shall return to the court the waiver of disqualification form provided with this order. If any party does not wish

Case 2:03-cv-02243-MCE-DAD Document 522 Filed 05/25/10 Page 2 of 2

1	to proceed with a settlement conference before the undersigned magistrate judge and would
2	prefer a settlement conference before a randomly-assigned settlement judge, they shall file a
3	declaration stating such; and
4	2. The Clerk of Court is directed to send each party the waiver of disqualification
5	form with respect to settlement conferences.
6	DATED: May 24, 2010.
7	2.42.4
8	Dale A. Drod DALE A. DROZD
9	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
10	DAD:sj nesb2243.conf
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	